

methods of group I, and thus lacks the same or corresponding special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2. Applicant respectfully disagrees.

The method of independent claim 11 includes storing kinetic energy of a motor vehicle in a super-capacitor and using the stored energy to supply power to the vehicle. And the method of independent claim 22 includes storing kinetic energy of a motor vehicle in a super-capacitor and subsequently powering an electric engine of the motor vehicle from the super-capacitor. The apparatus of claim 18 includes an electric machine and a super-capacitor for supplying and storing energy, with the super-capacitor being connected to the electric machine with a reversible converter. In view of the above, applicant submits that the claims of group II in fact share at least one special technical feature with the claims of group I, for instance the claimed "super-capacitor." Thus, applicant respectfully requests that the restriction be withdrawn.

In the event any fee is due in connection with the present response, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: October 18, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Andrew T. Zidel

Registration No.: 45,256
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP
600 South Avenue West
Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000
Attorney for Applicant